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ABSTRACT
A Mobile Ad hoc Network (MANET) is a collection of mobile hosts that move in different directions and speeds without the need to maintain connectivity with existing network infrastructure. If two mobile nodes are within each other’s transmission range, they will communicate with one another directly; otherwise, the nodes in between got to forward the packets for them. In such a case, each mobile node has got to perform as a router to forward the packets for others. Thus, routing is a basic operation for the MANET. MANETs are characterized by the mobility of nodes, which can move in any direction and at any speed that may lead to arbitrary topology and frequent partition in the network. Localization of nodes in an infrastructure less network serves several functions. Many problems concerning security, routing, etc. will be resolved if solely the particular location of nodes were far-famed. The node localization downside in Wireless device Networks has received extensive attention, driven by the necessity to get a better location accuracy while not acquisition an oversized, per node, price (dollar price, power consumption and type factor). Despite the efforts created, no system has emerged as a strong, practical, resolution for the node localization downside in realistic, complex, outdoor environment.
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INTRODUCTION
Mobile Ad Hoc Networks (MANETs) has become one of the most prevalent areas of research in the recent years because of the challenges [1] it poses to the related protocols. MANET is the new emerging technology which enables users to communicate without any physical infrastructure regardless of their geographical location, that’s why it is sometimes referred to as an infrastructure less network. The proliferation of cheaper, small and more powerful devices make MANET a fastest growing network. An ad-hoc network is self-organizing and adaptive. Device in mobile ad hoc network should be able to detect the presence of other devices and perform necessary set up to facilitate communication and sharing of data and service. Ad hoc networking allows the devices to maintain connections to the network as well as easily adding and removing devices to and from the network. Due to nodal mobility, the network topology may change rapidly and unpredictably over time.

The network is decentralized, where network organization and message delivery must be executed by the nodes themselves. Message routing is a problem in a decentralize environment where the topology fluctuates. While the shortest path from a source to a destination based on a given cost function in a static network is usually the optimal route, this concept is difficult to extend in MANET. The set of applications for MANETs is diverse, ranging from large-scale, mobile, highly dynamic networks, to small, static networks that are constrained by power sources. Localization is one of the key important key factor in routing process. Localization as one of the most fundamental and widely applied middle ware service WSN basically allow every node in the n/w to obtain the location informed either to the absolute geographic coordinate or a relative position that can be transformed to absolute counter part when necessary.
Overview of Routing Protocols
Routing protocols have been developed for ad hoc networks and have been classified into two main categories: Proactive (table-driven) protocols, Reactive (on-demand) protocols, and Hybrid routing protocol. In a proactive routing protocol, nodes periodically exchange routing information with other nodes in an attempt to have each node always know a current route to all destinations. In a reactive protocol, nodes exchange routing information only when needed, with a node attempting to discover a route to some destination only when it has a packet to send to that destination. Ad hoc network routing protocols that are hybrid have combination of table-driven and on-demand mechanisms.

AODV is a reactive routing protocol; that do not lie on active paths neither maintain any routing information nor participate in any periodic routing table exchanges. Further, the nodes do not have to discover and maintain a route to another node until the two needs to communicate, unless former node is offering its services as an intermediate forwarding station to maintain connectivity between other nodes. Route Discovery process is initiated by broadcasting a Route Request (RREQ) packet to its neighbors. Each neighboring node either responds the RREQ by sending a Route Reply (RREP) back to the source node or rebroadcasts the RREQ to its own neighbors after increasing the hop count field. If a node cannot respond by RREP, it keeps track of the routing information in order to implement the reverse path setup or forward path setup. The destination sequence number specifies the freshness of a route to the destination before it can be accepted by the source node. Eventually, a RREQ will arrive to node that possesses a fresh route to the destination. If the intermediate node has a route entry for the desired destination, it determines whether the route is fresh by comparing the destination sequence number in its route table entry with the destination sequence number in the RREQ received. The intermediate node can use its recorded route to respond to the RREQ by a RREP packet.

Where as In DREAM, each node uses a GPS to know its geographical coordinates. These coordinates are exchanged periodically between each node and stored in a routing table (called a location table). The benefit of exchanging location information is that it consumes less bandwidth than exchanging complete link state or distance vector information, which makes it more scalable than other routing protocols. Each node maintains a location table to store the position information of other nodes which belong to the network. Each node regularly floods the position or location packet, called control packet, to update the position information maintained by its neighbors.

Related work
There are various previous work have been done in the field of Location Aware with the help of Efficient energy, for the improvement of routing with energy efficient techniques.

In this paper [3] proposed a new protocol that consider in both areas of routing and energy. At first, propose a more efficient routing method which minimizes the spread of unnecessary control messages. Secondly, an energy aware method is proposed to select proper transmission power by the distance between nodes. This technique is made to provide efficient routing by minimizing the flooding of unnecessary control message, considering limited energy of mobile node and using appropriate transfer power to communication. And finally, we make a new function to select next hop which considers both of distance and energy. The result of simulation shows that performance of lifetime is improved about 12% compared with LAR.

The proposed scheme [4] controls the transmission power of a node according to the distance between the nodes. It also includes energy information on route request packet and selects the energy efficient path to route data packets. LAR1 protocol uses location information of a node for setting the path from source to destination. We take this feature of LAR1 as a key factor in designing of variable range technique. The main aim is to design a technique of variable transmission power control to reduce overall energy consumption of the network. RREQ in LAR1 protocol consists of source location and destination location information. We have used this information to calculate the distance between the nodes. We also embed the energy factor of the node in RREQ packet for selection of energy efficient path.

Pariza Kamboj and Ashok K. Sharma [5] gives the concept of local connectivity technique and preventive route reconfiguration on the basis of the current status of the nodes are being proposed that attempts to improve the performance and reliability in terms of reduced overhead, power and bandwidth requirement. These techniques also ensure good reduction in latency in case of link breakages and prevention of the network from splitting. The Energy...
Efficient Routing Multicast Protocol for MANET with Minimum Control Overhead is compared with other shared tree multicast protocol i.e. MAODV. Comparison was made on various parameters like Energy Consumption, Packet Delivery Ratio, Delay, and Throughput.

H. Vijayakumar, M. Ravichandran EELAR [6] utilizes location information of mobile nodes with the goal of decreasing routing-related overhead in mobile and ad hoc networks. It uses location information of the mobile nodes to limit the search for a new route to a smaller area of the ad hoc network which results in a significant reduction in the number of routing messages and therefore the energy consumption of the mobile nodes batteries is decreased significantly.

This paper [7] presents the results of simulation done in identifying suitable ad hoc routing protocol that can be used for the target mobile grid application. The simulation comparing three ad hoc routing protocols named DSDV, DSR and AODV. In this paper, we mainly target the performance comparison based on packet delivery fraction and normalized routing load. In the future, extensive complex simulations could be carried out in gain a more in-depth performance analysis of the ad hoc routing protocols. This would include delay of data packet delivery and performance comparison on location-based ad hoc routing protocols.

A mobile ad hoc network (MANET) [8] consists of autonomous mobile nodes, each of which communicates directly with the nodes within its wireless range or indirectly with other nodes in a network. In order to facilitate secure and reliable communication within a MANET, an efficient routing protocol is required to discover routes between mobile nodes. The field of MANETs is rapidly growing due to the many advantages and different application areas. Energy efficiency and security are some challenges faced in MANETs, especially in designing a routing protocol. In this paper, we surveyed a number of energy efficient routing protocols and secure routing protocols. In many cases, it is difficult to compare these protocols with each other directly since each protocol has a different goal with different assumptions and employs mechanisms to achieve the goal. According to the study, these protocols have different strengths and drawbacks. A protocol can hardly satisfy all requirements. In other words, one routing protocol cannot be a solution for all energy efficient and security issues that are faced in MANETs, but rather each protocol is designed to provide the maximum possible requirements, according to certain required scenarios.

We have proposed a node-disjoint multipath routing protocol GMR [9] with the group mobility model. The GMR protocol adopts intra-group routing and inter-group routing to adapt two situations: within a group and among groups. Intra-group routing uses a proactive method, which is suitable for the intra-group where nodes have the same mobile pattern. Intergroup routing uses a reactive method with the zoning method, which is adaptive to the dynamic topology, and limits the region of broadcasting RREQ packets. Thus, the GMR protocol has good scalability in large and dense MANETs.

This paper proposes a new MANET routing algorithm [10] that includes quadrant based opportunistic routing, an intelligent energy matrix and energy status request messages with packet receipt acknowledgement notification. The proposed algorithm uses an intelligent energy matrix that creates a look up table including the key characteristics: reputation value, residual battery level and energy consumption. The proposed algorithm balances the traffic uniformly across four intermediate nodes in any desired quadrant. The simulation results presented in this paper demonstrate that due to the inclusion of the energy matrix and quadrant based routing, the number of broadcast messages decreases, reducing data flooding, providing improved channel efficiency and improves bandwidth utilization. Load balancing also increases the lifetime of intermediate nodes which provides improved route stability.

In this paper, we compare the performance of different protocols for ad hoc networks [11] Multipath routing based on fresnel zone routing (FZR), and Energy aware Node Disjoint Multipath Routing (ENDMR) protocol. Simulation results show that, with the proposed network coding in ad hoc network multipath routing protocol (NC-MR), packet delivery ratio, network lifetime and packet loss can be improved in most of cases. It is an available approach to multipath routing decision.

It constructs a shared bi-directional multicast tree [12] for its routing operations rather than a mesh, which helps in achieving more efficient multicast delivery. The algorithm uses the concept of small overlapped zones around each node for proactive topology maintenance within the zone. Protocol
depends on the location information obtained using a distributed location service, which effectively reduces the overheads for route searching and shared multicast tree maintenance. In this paper a new technique of local connectivity management is being proposed that attempts to improve the performance and reliability. It employs a preventive route reconfiguration to avoid the latency in case of link breakages and to prevent the network from splitting.

Location Prediction Based Routing Protocol [13] does not require the periodic broadcast of beacons in the neighborhood and it assumes nodes are position-aware and the clocks across all nodes are synchronized. In LPBR [3], each node forwards the Route-Request packet after incorporating all the relevant parameters. The destination node collects the location update vector information of all the nodes in the network from the RREQ packets and sends a Route-Reply packet to the source on the minimum hop. In LPBR [3] the source node uses the route learnt through the latest LPBR-RREP packet to send the data packets. If an intermediate node could not forward the LPBR-RREP packet, it sends a LPBR-RREP-ERROR packet to the destination informing the failure. The destination node discards all the relevant information and the source initiates the next flooding based route discovery after timing out for the LPBRRREP packet.

Location Aided Knowledge Extraction Routing Protocol [15] uses an on demand request-reply mechanism in route discovery. LAKER [14] gradually discovers knowledge of topological characteristics such as population density distribution of the network. It is based on a set of guiding routes, which includes a chain of important positions between a pair of source and destination locations. LAKER is especially suitable for mobility models where nodes are not uniformly distributed.

PROPOSED WORK

In this sections, we describe the mechanism of dissemination of location information, a general method to describe how to find a node in a given direction, with the help of DREAM protocol in greater detail. Since our routing protocol is based on the location table maintained at each node, care is required in order to reduce the expense of disseminating location information through the network. This is accomplished through the following simple observation: the further two nodes are separated the less often their location table entries need updating, when two nodes are moving the same speed, a closer node appears to be changing more rapidly than one that is far away. We refer to this observation as the distance effect. Our main focus is to identify the localizability of a node in a network which will help us to improve the performance evolution of different parameters in our module. To find the localization of a node we have used DREAM protocol as location protocol. In DREAM each node maintain a position database that store the location information of other node.

Location with DREAM Protocol

DREAM [15] is a location-based routing protocol work for Ad-hoc networks. It stands for Distance Routing Effect Algorithm for Mobility. Here in this comparison distance and mobility plays an important role, so in our named as Distance Routing Effect Algorithm for Mobility (DREAM) protocol for ad hoc networks. DREAM protocols have some desirable properties of providing bandwidth and energy efficiency. We can say that with respect to existing protocols, in DREAM more bandwidth and energy (required for transmission in each mobile node) can be used for the transmission of data messages. Most importantly:-

a. The rate of control message generation is determined and optimized according to the mobility rate of each node individually.

b. Due to the “distance effect” the number of hops (radius from the moving node) it will be allowed to travel in the network before being discarded will only depend on the relative (geographic) distance between the moving node and the location tables being updated.

DREAM protocol provide loop-free path, since each data message propagates away from its source in a specific direction. DREAM protocol is also adaptive to mobility, since the frequency with which the location information is disseminated depends on the mobility rate.

CONCLUSION

Mobile Ad hoc networks are generally more susceptible to fixed localizability problem in MANET. In general most of the schemes lack with practical implementation. Moreover, those who have been implemented are limited to a particular environment. Lack of the studies about these schemes is also an issue. Apart from some of the main
schemes existing literature are silent about most of the schemes discussed in this paper. This paper has light on different location based concepts of MANET that based on localizability and non-localizibility, that can help to observe the usefulness of different research concepts. Especially when localization is a major factor of concern for MANET, we need to study a lot of issues and considerations based on localization. Proposed different location based routing schemes can greatly reduce the loss of data in the network and provide the base to the data to the destination.
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